

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,062	11/19/2001	Reinhard Plaschka	PLAS3002/JEK	8599
23364 7	590 03/31/2004		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			HENDERSON, MARK T	
FOURTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		3722	7

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/926,062	PLASCHKA ET A	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark T Henderson	3722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover si	heet with the correspondence a	ddress			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered tim (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this scome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
<u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
, <u> </u>	s action is non-final.	al matters, presequition as to th	o morito is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under I	<u>-</u>	•	le ments is			
closed in accordance with the practice under i	Ex parte Quayre, 19	JO C.D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16,17,19,21-23,27,29,31 and 38</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-14,17,21,27, 29 and 35</u> is/are rejocated to. 8)□ Claim(s) <u>2-4,16,22 and 23</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	ected.	on.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in the distinction is required if the distinction is required in the distinction in in the	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been receive ts have been receive prity documents have uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nationa )).	l Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pa 5) <u>□</u> No	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (PT ner:	<sup>·</sup> O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/926,062

Art Unit:

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Faxing of Responses to Office Actions**

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

1. Claims 15, 18, 20, 24-26, 28, and 32-34 have been canceled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 5-14, 17, 21, 27, 29 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stenzel (6,318,758).

Stenzel discloses in Fig. 1 and 2, a document of value comprising a security element of a cover foil (3) of a multilayer transfer material having at least one optically variable ink material (4, as stated in Col. 3, lines 5-10); and at least one machine readable feature substance (magnetic layer 5, as stated in Col. 3, lines 40-50) that is in the form of a code; a binder (adhesive layer 12, as stated in Col 6, lines 23-38) of conductive material; wherein the security element has two liquid crystals materials with different polarizations (Col. 5, lines 25-30); wherein the optically variable material is selected form the group of pigments (Col. 5, lines 25-30); wherein the machine readable feature substance is an IR-absorbent material (Col. 3, lines 1-4).

In regards to Claim 35, the method of testing a document does not structurally limit the claim. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art was made by a different process (see MPEP 2113). Therefore, the document of Stenzel et al can be test in any desirable method.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,062 Page 4

Art Unit:

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 2-4, 16, 22, and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claim 31 is allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: No prior art of record disclose plastic pellets comprising optically variable material that conveys different color effects at different viewing angles and one machine readable feature substance that does not impair a visually visible optically variable effect of the optically variable material.

### **Prior Art References**

The prior art references listed in the attached PTO-892, but not used in a rejection of the claims, are cited for (their/its) structure. Bohm et al, Tompkin et al, Chatwin et al, Edwards, Schmitz et al, Jotcham et al, Stenzel et al, Schneider et al disclose similar security documents.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,062

Art Unit:

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark T. Henderson whose telephone number is (703)305-0189. The examiner can be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, A. L. Wellington, can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax number for TC 3700 is (703)-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

MTH

March 22, 2004

A. L. WELLINGTON
SUPERVISORY PATENT FXAMI

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5